

Expression trees, B and B\* trees, red-black trees, quad trees, PQ trees; trees play a significant role in many domains of computer science. Sometimes the name of a problem may indicate that trees are used when they are not, as in the Artificial Intelligence planning problem traditionally called the *Monkey and Bananas problem*. Sometimes trees may be used in a problem whose name gives no indication that trees are involved, as in the *Huffman code*.

This problem involves determining how pairs of people who may be part of a “family tree” are related.

Given a sequence of *child-parent* pairs, where a pair consists of the child’s name followed by the (single) parent’s name, and a list of query pairs also expressed as two names, you are to write a program to determine whether the query pairs are related. If the names comprising a query pair are related the program should determine what the relationship is. Consider academic advisees and advisors as exemplars of such a single parent genealogy (we assume a single advisor, i.e., no co-advisors).

In this problem the child-parent pair  $p q$  denotes that  $p$  is the child of  $q$ . In determining relationships between names we use the following definitions:

- $p$  is a  $0$ -descendent of  $q$  (respectively  $0$ -ancestor) if and only if the child-parent pair  $p q$  (respectively  $q p$ ) appears in the input sequence of child-parent pairs.
- $p$  is a  $k$ -descendent of  $q$  (respectively  $k$ -ancestor) if and only if the child-parent pair  $p r$  (respectively  $q r$ ) appears in the input sequence and  $r$  is a  $(k - 1)$ -descendent of  $q$  (respectively  $p$  is a  $(k - 1)$ -ancestor of  $r$ ).

For the purposes of this problem the relationship between a person  $p$  and a person  $q$  is expressed as exactly one of the following four relations:

1. child — grand child, great grand child, great great grand child, *etc.*

By definition  $p$  is the “child” of  $q$  if and only if the pair  $p q$  appears in the input sequence of child-parent pairs (i.e.,  $p$  is a 0-descendent of  $q$ );  $p$  is the “grand child” of  $q$  if and only if  $p$  is a 1-descendent of  $q$ ; and

$p$  is the “great great . . . great grand child” of  $q$   
 $n$  times

if and only if  $p$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -descendent of  $q$ .

2. parent — grand parent, great grand parent, great great grand parent, *etc.*

By definition  $p$  is the “parent” of  $q$  if and only if the pair  $q p$  appears in the input sequence of child-parent pairs (i.e.,  $p$  is a 0-ancestor of  $q$ );  $p$  is the “grand parent” of  $q$  if and only if  $p$  is a 1-ancestor of  $q$ ; and

$p$  is the “great great . . . great grand parent” of  $q$   
 $n$  times

if and only if  $p$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -ancestor of  $q$ .

3. cousin — 0-th cousin, 1-st cousin, 2-nd cousin, *etc.*; cousins may be once removed, twice removed, three times removed, *etc.*

By definition  $p$  and  $q$  are “cousins” if and only if they are related (i.e., there is a path from  $p$  to  $q$  in the implicit undirected parent-child tree). Let  $r$  represent the least common ancestor of  $p$  and  $q$  (i.e., no descendent of  $r$  is an ancestor of both  $p$  and  $q$ ), where  $p$  is an  $m$ -descendent of  $r$  and  $q$  is an  $n$ -descendent of  $r$ .

Then, by definition, cousins  $p$  and  $q$  are “ $k$ -th cousins” if and only if  $k = \min(n, m)$ , and, also by definition,  $p$  and  $q$  are “cousins removed  $j$  times” if and only if  $j = |n - m|$ .

4. sibling — 0-th cousins removed 0 times are “siblings” (they have the same parent).

## Input

The input consists of child-parent pairs of names, one pair per line. Each name in a pair consists of lower-case alphabetic characters or periods (used to separate first and last names, for example). Child names are separated from parent names by one or more spaces. Child-parent pairs are terminated by a pair whose first component is the string ‘no.child’. Such a pair is NOT to be considered as a child-parent pair, but only as a delimiter to separate the child-parent pairs from the query pairs. There will be no circular relationships, i.e., no name  $p$  can be *both* an ancestor and a descendent of the same name  $q$ .

The child-parent pairs are followed by a sequence of query pairs in the same format as the child-parent pairs, i.e., each name in a query pair is a sequence of lower-case alphabetic characters and periods, and names are separated by one or more spaces. Query pairs are terminated by end-of-file.

There will be a maximum of 300 different names overall (child-parent and query pairs). All names will be fewer than 31 characters in length. There will be no more than 100 query pairs.

## Output

For each query-pair  $p q$  of names the output should indicate the relationship  $p$  *is-the-relative-of*  $q$  by the appropriate string of the form

- child, grand child, great grand child, great great . . . great grand child
- parent, grand parent, great grand parent, great great . . . great grand parent
- sibling
- $n$  cousin removed  $m$
- no relation

If an  $m$ -cousin is removed 0 times then only ‘ $m$  cousin’ should be printed, i.e., ‘removed 0’ should NOT be printed. Do not print *st, nd, rd, th* after the numbers.

## Sample Input

```
alonzo.church oswald.veblen
stephen.kleene alonzo.church
dana.scott alonzo.church
martin.davis alonzo.church
pat.fischer hartley.rogers
mike.paterson david.park
dennis.ritchie pat.fischer
hartley.rogers alonzo.church
les.valiant mike.paterson
bob.constable stephen.kleene
david.park hartley.rogers
no.child no.parent
stephen.kleene bob.constable
hartley.rogers stephen.kleene
les.valiant alonzo.church
les.valiant dennis.ritchie
dennis.ritchie les.valiant
pat.fischer michael.rabin
```

## Sample Output

```
parent
sibling
great great grand child
1 cousin removed 1
1 cousin removed 1
no relation
```